

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/539,089           | 12/14/2005                         | Tomi Veikonheimo     | 034382-004          | 9066             |  |
|                      | 7590 02/12/200<br>INGERSOLL & ROOI | EXAM                 | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
| POST OFFICE BOX 1404 |                                    |                      | VENNE, DANIEL V     |                  |  |
| ALEXANDRI            | A, VA 22313-1404                   | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
|                      |                                    | 3617                 |                     |                  |  |
|                      |                                    |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                      |                                    |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                      |                                    |                      | 02/12/2008          | ELECTRONIC       |  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com debra.hawkins@bipc.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)       |  |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|
| 10/539,089      | VEIKONHEIMO ET AL. |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit           |  |
| DANIEL V. VENNE | 3617               |  |

|  | DANIEL V. VENNE   | 3617  |  |
|--|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the o   | orrespondence add   | ress                                     |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A  | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR   | R ALLOWANCE.  |  |
| <ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on<br/>application, applicant must timely file one of the following r<br/>application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe<br/>for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C<br/>periods:</li> </ol>               | the same day as filing a Notice of a<br>eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>al (with appeal fee) in compliance  | Appeal. To avoid abar<br>t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the<br>(3) a Request         |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing   | date of the final rejection.  |   |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar<br>no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (   | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing<br>b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE   | date of the final rejection   | n.                                       |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f<br>Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of  |   | 36(a) and the annronriat  | e extension fee                          |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | ension and the corresponding amount of<br>hortened statutory period for reply origi   | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Office                | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 January 2008</u>. A bridge</li> </ol>   | ef in compliance with 37 CER 41 3   | 7 must be filed within  | two months of                            |
| the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), of appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply   | or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4  | 1.37(e)), to avoid disr   | nissal of the                            |
| AMENDMENTS   |   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul> </li> </ol>   | sideration and/or search (see NOT   |   | cause                                    |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett<br>appeal; and/or   |   | lucing or simplifying th  | ne issues for                            |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c   | orresponding number of finally reje   | ected claims.   |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   | a constant to the contract of |   | DTOL 004)                                |
| <ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>  |   | mpliant Amendment (i  | PTOL-324).                               |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ol>  |   |   |  |
| non-allowable claim(s).  |   | •   |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)<br>how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov<br>The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |   | be entered and an e   | xplanation of                            |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:<br>Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14</u> .  |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   |   |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but   | hefore or on the date of fling a his  | tion of Annualill not   | be entered                               |
| <ul> <li>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>  |   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea   | l and/or appellant fail:  | s to provide a                           |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   |   |   |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but  | does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan   | ce because:                              |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |   |  |
| DVV  | /Lars A Olson/<br>Primary Examiner, Art U   | nit 3617  |  |

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's amendment recites a limitation that has not previously been presented in the claims, and which would require further consideration and/or a search regarding the limitation in the amendment of the independent claims.